

Practitioner's Docket No.

IMV - 40013

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Nighy et al.

Application No.: 10 / 539,664

Filed: May 15, 2006 Exa

For: Fluid Metering

Group No.: 3746 Examiner:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## STATUS INQUIRY

V	VARININ	G: Submission of a status letter after in patent term adjustment under 111–112, June 26, 2001.	a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reductio 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 Od
1.	More	than months have pa	assed since
		NEW APPLICATIONS	-//
		NEW APPLICATIONS the filing of this application	$\frac{3/15/06}{}$
		No communication has beer indicating action on this app	n received from the Patent and Trademark Office
		AMENDED APPLICATIONS	
		the filing of a response on _	
		No further communication had Office.	as been received from the Patent and Trademark
		APPEALED APPLICATION	
		The Appeal Brief was file	ed on
		(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*  Express Mail label number is mandatory;  certification is optional.)
l here	eby certi	fy that, on the date shown below, th	is correspondence is being:
1			MAILING
		l with the United States Postal Service 1. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
		37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
Ø v	ith suffic	cient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
	_		Mailing Label No (mandatory)
			ANSMISSION
∐ fa	icsimile :	transmitted to the Patent and Traden	Knistine Carrell
Date:2007		4, 2007	Signature Kristine Carroll
			(type or print name of person certifying)

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

•	(check and complete applicable items below)
	☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
	☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
☐ ALLOWE	D APPLICATIONS
<del>_</del>	ng of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on
	e undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.
NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203 follows:	3.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as
"NEW APPLICA	ATION
of Form PTOs in addition to the need for or her new a exception, a s	nining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) L-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his oplication may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within from receipt of form PTOL-37.
dockets of eac of the "oldest	nining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner that unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.
"Therefore, it is	should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.
"AMENDED APP	LICATIONS
two months of in order after re- A postcard rece will be consider filing of a reply, the need for a	lications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not uply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. Each for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, ared prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the tion to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
Reg. No.: 25, 605	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER  Michael Piontek  (type or print name of practitioner)
Tel. No.: (312) 236	-8123

Customer No.: 21015

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	TION SERIAL NO. 0 10 539,664 IS CURRENTLY
V	ASSIGNED TO GROUPAND AWAITS:
	ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
-	APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
	·
	C. Antilla
APPEAL	IO
	AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERNCES
ſ	DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
[	DECISION EXPECTED